

No

## Blackshear, Regina

---

**From:** Johnson, Anita  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 13, 2022 4:12 PM  
**To:** Adams, Jeffrey; Beckham, Darius; Blackshear, Regina; Fairchild, Darryl; Hollingsworth, Torey; Joseph, Matt; Mims Jr, Jeffrey; Redd, Stephanie; Schaller, Margaret; Shaw, Christopher; Turner-Sloss, Shenise  
**Subject:** FW: Statement for ALPR Public Hearing

---

**From:** Mary Sue Gmeiner <msgmeiner@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 13, 2022 4:11 PM  
**To:** CCO Clerk <ccoclerk@daytonohio.gov>; Mayor <Mayor@daytonohio.gov>; Adams, Jeffrey <Jeffrey.Adams@daytonohio.gov>; Adams, Jeffrey <Jeffrey.Adams@daytonohio.gov>; Schaller, Margaret <Margaret.Schaller@daytonohio.gov>; Schaller, Margaret <Margaret.Schaller@daytonohio.gov>; Dickstein, Shelley <Shelley.Dickstein@daytonohio.gov>  
**Subject:** Statement for ALPR Public Hearing

Mayor, Commissioners,

I am asking you to vote NO on the automated license plate readers. I've read the ALPR Impact report and the policy statement. While the DPD makes a decent case to add this technology, their response to the citizens' concerns is basically to trust them. There is a lack of independent review that is critical to approving this technology.

When reviewing the statistics of ALPR use in Twin Towers and Walnut Hills vs. the City as a whole, the percentages are not conclusive at all. Some percentages are lower, some higher, and there is no explanation to identify other potential causes of the variances.

The placement of fixed ALPR's relies on the Neighborhood Safety Plans. But I don't find any documentation that indicates, for example, how many residents of a neighborhood need to approve a safety plan before it's adopted.

My biggest concern is a privacy one. Why do we allow ourselves to be so tracked and examined in our society? Of course it's important to have some identification and to create some security measures in order to have a safe community. But privacy is a slippery slope, and every time we allow another piece of data to be recorded about our identity or our movements, we go down that slope. While I don't believe that the current police officers or technology company have any authoritarian hidden motives, I can see the technology being misused by someone in the future. To risk that, to risk any privacy, one must require an undoubted benefit to the community as a whole. ALPR's don't pass this test.

Mary Sue Gmeiner

## Blackshear, Regina

---

**From:** Melissa Bertolo  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 13, 2022 4:13 PM  
**To:** CCO Clerk  
**Subject:** Public Comment on Proposed ALPRs

Dear Mayor Mims and Commissioners Joseph, Shaw, Fairchild and Turner-Sloss:

As a resident of the City of Dayton and your constituent, I respectfully ask that you reject the request for Automatic License Plate Readers, both mobile and fixed-site, by the Dayton Police Department.

Independent evaluation and research has demonstrated that ALPRs do not decrease crime and their use and scope in assisting with relevant investigations is so limited that their use is not worth the infringement on our civil liberties.

As you know, I have worked with the Coalition on Public Protection (COPP) to create the process for the public and for you to be informed on the impact (both positive and negative) of ALPRs. The report was severely deficient and I am disappointed by the lack of willingness of the commission to ensure accountability of the police department and to produce a report that would provide you and the public with objective information.

Sincerely,  
Melissa Bertolo  
1137 Harvard Blvd.  
Dayton, OH 45406

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of our organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

No

## Blackshear, Regina

---

**From:** Stephen Siff  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 13, 2022 11:46 AM  
**To:** Blackshear, Regina  
**Subject:** comment on license plate reader proposal

To whom it may concern:

I am writing to register my objections to the proposal to equip Dayton police with license plate readers.

I am concerned about the additional level of electronic surveillance this will create for everyone who lives, works or travels through Dayton.

How can we be assured that the data created through this program, which will apparently include the location and appearance of every vehicle passed by a patrol car, will not be used for commercial purposes? Or employed by someone with a political agenda? Or for some other purpose not yet envisioned?

Because of my concerns that sufficient measures have not yet been put in place to guard against the misuse of this technology, I ask that the proposal to equip police with these machines be rejected.

Stephen Siff  
202 Wisteria Dr, Dayton, OH 45419

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of our organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## Blackshear, Regina

---

**From:** Sarah Siff  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 13, 2022 12:47 PM  
**To:** Blackshear, Regina  
**Subject:** written comment: automated license plate reader technology

The police department's documents emphasize the impact of data collection on their investigations and on people supposedly engaged in crime. But they ignore how this kind of broad-ranging data collection affects the vast majority of residents. Even though the reports submitted by the police department promise that data will be "destroyed" or "overwritten," there actually is a cost to destroying data once it is stored, and some would say it's impossible to do at any cost. Have commissioners read the police department's contract with Axon, the out-of-state private corporation that invented the Taser and now specializes in military technology? Axon apparently will collect and store drivers' personal data gathered here. Will Axon really act against its own best financial interests and pay to have our data destroyed?

Let's not ask the police department to answer that question. City commissioners have a duty to their constituents to require independent reporting on the impact of police surveillance programs. Privacy is a form of liberty, and these programs diminish the privacy of all drivers. We must know whether the benefit is actually worth the cost.

Sarah Siff  
202 Wisteria Dr.  
937-716-8884

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of our organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## **Blackshear, Regina**

---

**From:** Kathleen Pennington  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 12, 2022 6:29 PM  
**To:** CCO Clerk  
**Subject:** ALPR impact report Policy

*Dear Commissioner,*

*My name is Kathleen Pennington and I reside at 97 Notre Dame Ave.. I'm writing because I have concerns about DPD's proposed ALPR impact report and use policy—specifically whether they comply with the Surveillance Technology Oversight Ordinance. Transparency and fairness in policing and public processes are critical to our community. The impact report and policy should be returned to the police for revision and a public hearing should only be scheduled thirty days from the release of a compliant report.*

*Sincerely,  
Kathleen Pennington 937-999-7107*

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of our organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## Blackshear, Regina

---

**From:**  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 13, 2022 12:16 AM  
**To:** CCO Clerk  
**Subject:** Concerns about License Readers

Dear Commissioner,

My name is Melissa Guadalupe and I reside in Kettering. I'm writing because I have concerns about DPD's proposed ALPR impact report and use policy—specifically whether they comply with the Surveillance Technology Oversight Ordinance. Transparency and fairness in policing and public processes are critical to our community. The impact report and policy should be returned to the police for revision and a public hearing should be scheduled thirty days from the release of a compliant report.

Sincerely, Melissa Guadalupe

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of our organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## Blackshear, Regina

---

**From:** Rosalind Bertolo  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 13, 2022 5:46 AM  
**To:** Blackshear, Regina  
**Subject:** Proposed ALPR Use

Dear Mayor Mims and Commissioners Fairchild, Joseph, Shaw, and Turner-Sloss,

My name is Rosalind Bertolo. As a constituent, I am writing to provide public comment regarding the proposed use of automated license plate readers in our city.

I am opposed. I consider the use of these readers an infringement of liberty in the hope of increased security. I do not believe the benefits of this requested policy are worth the infringement of liberty.

I respectfully request that you ensure that the proposed general order is not implemented.

Sincerely,

Rosalind Bertolo  
248 South Irwin Street  
Dayton OH 45403

Sent from my iPhone

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of our organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## Blackshear, Regina

---

**From:** Emmy Fabich  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 12, 2022 9:27 AM  
**To:** Blackshear, Regina  
**Subject:** JULY 20 PUBLIC COMMENTS: AUTOMATED LICENSE PLATE READER TECHNOLOGY

To Clerk of Commission/Dayton City Commission:

My name is Emmy Fabich and I live at 127 W Babbitt St, Dayton 45405.

I'm providing public comments for the Automated License Plate Reader Technology hearing via email due to the fact that I cannot attend in person.

I have read the report, reviewed the pilot project data, and the technology data sharing information about ALPR systems. I am concerned about the use of fixed ALPR systems in City of Dayton neighborhoods for a few reasons. I believe this will lead to over-policing and possibly racial profiling unintentionally, and it may lead to sharing immigration information somehow with other governmental/quasi-governmental entities. I also feel that data information is all too often illegally hacked or systems breached and information unsecured and that it cannot be properly stored even with the best of intentions. I would also pose the question of how this system would be paid for? I do not support it for these various reasons.

I can understand that the Police Department would like to utilize more tools to assist officers in their work, but I do not believe this is the way forward. It would lead to a surveilled police-state.

I do however find that ALPR systems on mobile units and for investigation use of violent and serious crimes *may* be helpful and I would be more in favor of this path of their use, but again my concern over the storage and data security remain.

Lastly, I do not think that ALPR systems fixed or otherwise would further build community/police trust and positive relationships. I think it would further deteriorate the trust. I truly want to support our Police Department to accomplish their work in a positive/successful way, they've done a wonderful job but technology simply cannot replace the eyes and ears of officers. Please take these comments into consideration. Thank you.

Emmy Fabich  
127 W Babbitt St. Dayton 45405

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of our organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## Blackshear, Regina

---

**From:** Eileen Comerford  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 10, 2022 2:50 PM  
**To:** CCO Clerk  
**Subject:** ALPR Impact Report and Use Policy

Dear Commissioner,

My name is Eileen Comerford and I reside on Green Street in Dayton. I'm writing because I have concerns about DPD's proposed ALPR impact report and use policy—specifically whether they comply with the Surveillance Technology Oversight Ordinance. Transparency and fairness in policing and public processes are critical to our community. The impact report and policy should be returned to the police for revision and a public hearing should be scheduled thirty days from the release of a compliant report.

Sincerely,  
Eileen Comerford

--

Eileen Comerford  
Marketing and Communication Specialist  
MA in Rhetoric and Writing  
[\(618\)973-3951](tel:(618)973-3951)

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of our organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## Blackshear, Regina

---

**From:** Amanda  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 9, 2022 5:50 PM  
**To:** Mayor; Hollingsworth, Torey; Blackshear, Regina  
**Cc:** Fields, Erica  
**Subject:** ALPR

*Dear Mayor,*

*My name is Amanda Arrington and I reside at 1829 Riverside Drive. I'm writing because I have concerns about DPD's proposed ALPR impact report and use policy—specifically whether they comply with the Surveillance Technology Oversight Ordinance. Transparency and fairness in policing and public processes are critical to our community. The impact report and policy should be returned to the police for revision and a public hearing should be scheduled thirty days from the release of a compliant report. I am not convinced that this technology will improve neighborhood safety.*

*Sincerely,  
Amanda*

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of our organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## Blackshear, Regina

---

**From:** Maria Pettit  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 10:40 AM  
**To:** Blackshear, Regina  
**Subject:** License Plate Readers

Hello,

I have recently purchased a home in the historic Huffman area of Dayton. I pay taxes here, and vehemently oppose automated license plate readers, or any automatic form of surveillance. While license plate data is viewable to the public, aggregating that data has potential to be weaponized and used against the public. With the current political climate, who's to say someone won't use this information to track who crosses state lines to receive medical care that is illegal in their state, such as marijuana consumption or abortions?

I absolutely oppose this, and hope you vote against.

Maria Pettit

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of our organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## Blackshear, Regina

---

**From:** RICKY GEE  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 1:24 AM  
**To:** Blackshear, Regina  
**Subject:** Plate readers

I do not see the advantage of installing fixed license plate readers given your reasons, although i do agree such individuals should be apprehended. The use of such a system seems more suited for monitoring not apprehensions which is the goal.

The patrol units have them which makes it easier to identify those who are around them and take action if need be. Why does the city of Dayton want to inspect and suspect thoses who travel a certain route to be a criminal?

Lack of privacy has grown increasingly scarce from the grocery store to the shopping mall we are being monitored,which in itself isn't as intrusive as gathering my personal information storing it which could lead to possible theft.

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of our organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## Blackshear, Regina

---

**From:** J Leeder  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 11:54 PM  
**To:** Blackshear, Regina  
**Subject:** Hello

I do think it's a good idea but it's a thin line and I believe it's a privacy issue. The police should be worried about crime like drugs or missing people. Also I think this could also be a control issue. I'd pass on this

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of our organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## Blackshear, Regina

---

**From:** Tonya Blackburn  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 10:37 PM  
**To:** Blackshear, Regina  
**Subject:** Automated cameras

This is a direct violation of our rights in privacy. You have already overstepped Federal and state laws with the traffic cameras which are against the law at the state and federal level. This is just another overreach of power and I don't see it as a safety issue or anything other than to keep tabs on us and give us less and less privacy. I can guarantee you I will vote against this in anyone who supports it. And I have a huge following on social media and in the Dayton community so it's not just me one person that has these feelings it is all of us. The cameras are bad enough even no they don't go on your record because they're unconstitutional I currently have a red light camera from your city from a vehicle I sold and that person decided they were going to keep my tags but now I'm held responsible I don't think so I won't be paying that ticket and I also live outside of city limits so the threats to tell my car are empty. It's unconstitutional and overreach at its finest.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of our organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## Blackshear, Regina

---

**From:** kickflipMONSTERTRUCK  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 10:03 PM  
**To:** Blackshear, Regina  
**Subject:** Automated License Plate Readers

To Whom It May Concern,

This method of data collection is an over reach. By collecting this information it allows anyone with access to mine data on thousands of people for more than what these cameras are intended for, or even sell that information to a third party. I, for one, am not willing to sacrifice my right to travel freely and anonymously for temporary security and please note that I am against such measures.

Michael Kalani McDermott

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of our organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## Blackshear, Regina

---

**From:** Sean Scheerschmidt  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 10:08 PM  
**To:** Blackshear, Regina  
**Subject:** Comment on the fixed license plate reader

I am Absolutely against the plate readers. Unmanned, warrantless surveillance and data collection is another step forward in the police state.

The constitution of these united States protects each citizen from illegal search and self incrimination. Who is to say that I am the one driving my vehicle.

Also, who is going to pay for this? I am against all taxes and all levees. As a matter of fact my full support goes to privatizing the roads and letting private companies and private individuals police and regulate their streets on their own. I believe this would do way more to prevent crime than license plate cameras

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of our organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## Blackshear, Regina

---

**From:** Lora Armstrong  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 9:43 PM  
**To:** Blackshear, Regina  
**Subject:** Automated plate readers

Unconstitutional !!! If someone is breaking a law, fine stop them..... but this is a privacy issue.

I think this will mean Dayton has a bunch of tyrants in charge if this is what you want to spend our tax money on.  
CAUTION: This email originated from outside of our organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## Blackshear, Regina

---

**From:** Michael West  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 8:42 PM  
**To:** Blackshear, Regina  
**Subject:** License plate cameras

I am sick and tired of law enforcement and politicians thinking stepping all over our privacy rights is ok. Ever since 9/11/01 the gov keeps finding more and more creative ways to violate citizens privacy rights in the name of "security". If you don't have probable cause you shouldn't record or look up anyone's private information. Police would get disciplined if management found out officers were searching through the police database for their own purposes. They are abusing their authority. This is the same thing. This is not a security state. You'll say no one stores the data it's only looking for warrants etc. That may even be true right now as of today. But I guarantee it will store that info later just like meta data for cell phones. Police have no right to harass innocent civilians so they can root out law breakers. You need probable cause and articulable suspicion. It turns into a slippery slope and people keep making exceptions and infringing on everyone's privacy rights. Stop stepping on our rights and try preserving them for once.

Regards,

Michael West

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of our organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## Blackshear, Regina

---

**From:** meljaneknight  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 5:24 PM  
**To:** Blackshear, Regina

Are we becoming a communist country. Next you will want camera's in my house. It's a great world, People talking about arming teachers, oh gee, let's go get an abortion, killings all over the state, and welfare for anyone that doesn't want to work. Keep up the good work.

Sent from my Galaxy

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of our organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## Blackshear, Regina

---

**From:** Charles Wheeler  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 4:41 PM  
**To:** Blackshear, Regina  
**Subject:** Automated License Plate Reader

Good afternoon,

I would like to veto the motion for the utilization of Automated License Plate Readers in the City of Dayton. I speak on behalf of a lot of citizens when I say we fear the police, giving them a tool such as this will lead to more exploitation and profiling. In theory, this seems to be a wonderful initiative, but we all know things get exploited once in the wrong hands. I do not believe that all police officers are malicious and exploit their position of power, but I can confidently say that about half of the police force falls on the side of being bully's and exploit their position of power.

Sincerely,

A concerned citizen

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of our organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## Blackshear, Regina

---

**From:** John Skot Brennan  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 3:20 PM  
**To:** Blackshear, Regina  
**Subject:** License Plate Readers

Just say no to Fascism, The Police State, and the Industrial Military Complex. There are already enough forms of Fascism running rampant through our country. It is unnecessary and in poor moral standing to take further steps against We The Peoples actual freedom, with license plate readers.

No Fascism!

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of our organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## Blackshear, Regina

---

**From:** Gina Short Gentry  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 8:00 AM  
**To:** Blackshear, Regina  
**Subject:** Automated license plate readers

I read the article written by WHIO staff, as a former resident of Dayton, Ohio and currently a delivery driver who is frequently in the area. I support cracking down on the rising crime in the area, I believe that the police officers should have a lot more available to them to help catch criminals. But I'm the same token I believe that each individual has a right to privacy, our constitution guarantees that we do. So I look at these automated license plate readers on the basis of is it constitutional to do so. Because if you're scanning the license of a car and the owner of that car has not reported it stolen and it's not involved in a crime you're obtaining information about the owner and their automobile when you didn't need to. And that's private information now I know that the officers when they're behind us and we're in traffic they're running our license to see if there's any warrants and if the car stolen and things like that, and I would say nine times out of 10 they're running those license on someone who's innocent. So I would have to say before using this technology on a more open basis look at the constitutional rights of the individual because we are guaranteed those rights and it's never any government entities right to infringe on them.

I support our men and women in blue I have family members who are in law enforcement but I also support the Constitution. And every citizens constitutional rights to privacy. I don't know if my comments have much weight to them and I do pray that our police officers are always protected.

Sincerely

Gina Short Gentry

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of our organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## Blackshear, Regina

---

**From:** Kyle Thiele  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 2:54 PM  
**To:** Blackshear, Regina  
**Subject:** Plate scanners

Dayton cops have too much power as is. It's full military style. Last thing they need is more tools to oppress and tear gas us.

Kyle Thiele

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of our organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## Blackshear, Regina

---

**From:** Christopher Rowley  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 1:55 PM  
**To:** Blackshear, Regina  
**Subject:** Public comments - automated license plate reader technology

Ms. Blackshear,

This email is in response to the Dayton City Commission's *request for public comments regarding the possible use of automated license plate reader technology by local police.*

According to a recent WHIO-TV7 article (dated 29 June, 2022 @10:25am), "While some mobile forms of license plate readers are used by Dayton Police Department, according to the 2021 Annual Surveillance Report, they currently do not use readers that are placed in fixed spots."

According to the Oxford English Dictionary, surveillance is defined as, "Close observation, especially of a suspected spy or criminal."

The Fourth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution states, "*The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.*"

Using the above definition of surveillance, it is fair to say that the use of any technology to automatically read license plates, without "probable cause," can be rightfully considered unconstitutional. The definition of surveillance assumes that the "person, houses, papers, and effects" are under suspicion of being criminal or associated with criminal activity. Assuming a person is guilty of criminal activity and acting upon that assumption without a warrant, accompanied by probable cause, and supported by oath or affirmation, violates the Fourth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution. Therefore, surveilling U.S. citizen's vehicles ("effects"), without a warrant, and without probable cause based upon an oath or affirmation, is unconstitutional.

Vehicles belonging to U.S. citizens should not be surveilled unless they are under reasonable suspicion, and the police have a warrant, based upon probable cause supported by an oath or affirmation.

Warm regards,

Chris Rowley

--  
**Christopher J. Rowley**

*ISR Consultant*

*Director of Imagery Operations (DEEMI)*

**Phone:** (937) 361-3262

**E-Mail:** [christopher.j.rowley@gmail.com](mailto:christopher.j.rowley@gmail.com)

**LinkedIn:** <https://www.linkedin.com/pub/christopher-rowley/b/a82/ba4>

**DEEMI:** <http://deemi.org/>

*Intelligence, Surveillance, Reconnaissance, Expertise*

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of our organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## Blackshear, Regina

---

**From:** Leroy Russell  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 9:20 AM  
**To:** Blackshear, Regina

I just will not go into Dayton. Thanks.

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of our organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## Blackshear, Regina

---

**From:**  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 9:11 AM  
**To:** Blackshear, Regina  
**Subject:** License plate cameras

Does the 4th amendment even exist anymore? How many rights will we lose in the name of public safety?

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of our organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## Blackshear, Regina

---

**From:** Ryan Black  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 8:04 AM  
**To:** Blackshear, Regina  
**Subject:** License Plate Scanners

Good morning,

I would like to make my voice heard in objecting to the new license plate reader proposals.

In fact, I am against any government surveillance cameras (speed trap cameras, license plate readers, etc.) that are utilized without a targeted suspect and without a warrant. I believe it is a 4th amendment violation.

Thank you and best regards,

Ryan Black

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of our organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## **Blackshear, Regina**

---

**From:** Jesse Loney  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 12:24 PM  
**To:** Blackshear, Regina  
**Subject:** ALPRs

ALPRs are an invasion of privacy and do not belong on Dayton's roads.

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of our organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## Blackshear, Regina

---

**From:** Kileela J  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 10:13 AM  
**To:** Blackshear, Regina  
**Subject:** AUTOMATED LICENSE PLATE READER TECHNOLOGY Comment

These cameras are losing our community money and should be removed based on The Ohio Supreme Court on Thursday unanimously upheld a 2019 state law setting financial disincentives for local governments in Ohio to use cameras to catch and fine motorists for driving infractions. May 19, 2022

These just take money from people if they are ever even paid.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of our organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## Blackshear, Regina

---

**From:** Gage Yuh  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 9:47 AM  
**To:** Blackshear, Regina  
**Subject:** Traffic cameras

We all know you want these cameras because it's an easy revenue stream. Instead of sending cops out for minor violations and shit the traffic cameras catch, why don't Yall go out there and get some fentanyl dealers or human traffickers. There's a hell of a lot more you could do to improve this city than tax and cite the people.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of our organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## Blackshear, Regina

---

**From:** Zachary Ford  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 7:10 PM  
**To:** Blackshear, Regina  
**Subject:** License plate readers

So not only has the courts passed along "no longer needing to mirandize people," but now officers want to use license plate readers, in Dayton, Ohio? How much more privacy are you guys going to take away?

I pray this doesn't go into effect!!!!

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of our organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## Blackshear, Regina

---

**From:** Reggie Brazzile  
**Sent:** Friday, July 1, 2022 6:54 PM  
**To:** Blackshear, Regina  
**Subject:** License Plate readers

I am totally against License Plate readers. The secret surveillance of citizens is already overboard. Identification tracking via ID cards, cameras on top of buildings, red light cameras, speed cameras, and Shot Spotters have taken the place of Beat walking police. Dayton has a high occurrence of drug trafficking. Plate readers won't help with that much. That money could be more used efficiently. Let's not create a Police State. Community Policing involves interaction with the community not coming up with ways to catch people. If Police would come from behind those laptops and build rapport with The community we may not need all this technology.

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of our organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## Blackshear, Regina

---

**From:** its me..JP  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 2:31 PM  
**To:** Blackshear, Regina  
**Subject:** License plate readers.

We already have a gov that is taking our constitutional rights away left and rt, yr by yr. Yet again now dayton wants to jump into another controversial issue. How much have u spent w the red light tickets and speed camera's. Now ur gonna use more technology to spy on the ppl wo a warrant or reason. This issue is just perpetuating the constitutional rights of Americans being taken away. They should not have these above or beside the law camera's or there use bc the constitution gives u a right to face ur accuser in court, so if I forget to pay a speeding ticket or I can't pay it w the way our economy is going ur trying to justify a camera reading my plate to notify the police of where I drive. What if I'm driving to work to make the \$ to pay that ticket and get warrant off me, ur gonna try and catch me 1st and put ne I'm a jail!! Petty, envision of privacy, violation of the constitution and wasted city funds that could be well spent on more deserving issues. Start w the 4 wheelers and dirt bikes riding the roads like they own them. These cameras are a waste of money and time. Say no to the installation of them.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of our organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## Blackshear, Regina

---

**From:** John Loomis  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 5, 2022 12:41 PM  
**To:** Blackshear, Regina  
**Subject:** License plate readers

Your papers please!

I should be able to come downtown without feeling like the government is monitoring my movements and activities. I deserve that much privacy.

Please don't.

Thank you for the opportunity to share my opinion.

John Loomis  
Oakwood

--

John M. Loomis  
Mathematics Teacher  
Public & Private

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of our organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## **Blackshear, Regina**

---

**From:** Paul Morana  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 6, 2022 11:12 AM  
**To:** Blackshear, Regina  
**Subject:** No automated license plate readers

Hello,

I do not approve of automated surveillance in any form in Dayton whether that be license plate readers, or automatic photo enforcement for traffic violations such as speed cameras, or red light cameras.

I live at 131 Huffman Ave, Dayton, OH 45403

Thank you for providing an avenue to comment via email.

Paul Morana  
Online Marketing Strategist  
512-910-4605

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of our organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## Blackshear, Regina

---

**From:** Alan Wadlington  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 1:28 PM  
**To:** Blackshear, Regina  
**Subject:** Invasion of privacy

Bad idea invasion of privacy. Should be no security camera's in public places. People should have the right to not be on camera. They did away with traffic light camera's for a reason. These are no different. Results don't excuse invasion of privacy.

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of our organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## Blackshear, Regina

---

**From:** joe bolton  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 2:13 PM  
**To:** Blackshear, Regina  
**Subject:** License plate scanner

I don't like the idea of these scanners, we already live there in a surveillance state. The data collected by these could possibly be used to track an individuals movements and where they go, seems like an invasion of privacy. We already have cameras all over the place why does big brother have to always have an eye on us constantly. It's almost like the government doesn't trust it's people. I really hope you guys don't go forward with this, the city could use this money in a way better fashion.... Like maybe fix Wayne Avenue or the many other streets that are in disrepair. Thanks for taking the time to read my thoughts.

Joe B

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of our organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.